

## **Rule(s) Review Checklist Addendum** (This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used only if the rule(s) was/were previously reviewed, and has/have not been amended/repealed subsequent to that review.

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document Reviewed (include title):

WAC 458-19-065 "One hundred six percent levy limit – Protection of future levy capacity"

Date last reviewed: September 1998

Current Reviewer: Kim M. Qually

Date current review completed: **December 27, 2001** 

Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a taxpayer or association request?

YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$ 

1. Briefly describe the subject matter of the rule(s):

WAC 458-19-065 explains how future levying capacity may be protected and provides an example showing the same.

## 2. Related statutes, interpretive statements, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs:

| YES | NO   |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|
| X   |  | Are there any statutory changes subsequent to the previous review of this rule   |  |
|     |  | that should be incorporated?   |  |
|     | X  | Are there any interpretive statements not identified in the previous review of   |  |
|     |  | this rule that should be incorporated?   |  |
|     | X  | Are there any interpretive statements that should be repealed because the        |  |
|     |  | information is currently included in this or another rule, or the information is |  |
|     |  | incorrect or not needed?   |  |
|     | X  | Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or           |  |
|     |  | Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) subsequent to the previous review of this      |  |
|     |  | rule that provide information that should be incorporated into this rule?        |  |
|     | X Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions |  |  |
|     |  | (WTDs)) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide              |  |
|     |  | information that should be incorporated into the rule?                           |  |
|     | X  | Are there any changes to the recommendations in the previous review of this      |  |



|  | rule with respect to any of the types of documents noted above? (An     |
|--|---|
|  | Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed if any changes |
|  | are recommended with respect to an interpretive statement.)             |

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a <u>brief</u> summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

This rule declares the levy limit to "106%" – this is no longer accurate. The levy limit was changed by the passage of Referendum 47 (1997) and Initiative 747 (2001). The rule needs to be amended to reflect current law.

**3. Additional information:** Identify any additional issues (other than that noted above or in the previous review) that should be addressed or incorporated into the rule. Note here if you believe the rule can be rewritten and reorganized in a more clear and concise manner. **None** 

## 4. Listing of documents reviewed:

Statute(s) Implemented:

RCW 84.55.092 "Protection of future levy capacity;"

RCW 52.16.160 "Tax levy by district where no township has been formed or where township disorganized and no longer making a levy; and

RCW 52.18.065 "Property tax limited if benefit charge imposed."

| Interpretive statements (e.g., ETAs and PTAs): |        |      |  |  |
|--|--------|------|--|--|
| Court Decisions:                               | None   |      |  |  |
| Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs):         |        |      |  |  |
| Administrative Decisions (e.g.,                | WTDs): | None |  |  |
| Attorney General's Opinions (AGOs): None       |        |      |  |  |
| Other Documents:                               | None   |      |  |  |
|  |        |      |  |  |

## 5. Review Recommendation:

X Amend



|     | <b>Repeal</b> (Appropriate when repeal is not conditioned upon another rule-making action.)                              |
|-----|--|
|     | <b>Leave as is</b> (Appropriate even if the recommendation is to incorporate the current information into another rule.) |
| the | Begin the rule-making process for possible revision. (Applies only when  |
| uic | Department has received a petition to revise a rule.)  |

**Explanation of recommendation:** Provide a brief summary of your recommendation, whether the same as or different from the original review of the document(s). If this recommendation differs from that of the previous review, explain the basis for this difference.

If recommending that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the recommendation is to:

- Correct inaccurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule;
- Incorporate legislation;
- Consolidate information now available in other documents; or
- Address issues not otherwise addressed in other documents.

The levy limit was changed by Referendum 47 and Initiative 747. As a result, the levy limit mentioned in the rule at present is incorrect and needs to be updated to reflect current law.

| 6. | Manager action:    | Date:                  |
|----|--------------------|------------------------|
|    | Reviewed and a     | ccepted recommendation |
| An | nendment priority: |                        |
|    | 1                  |                        |
|    | 2                  |                        |
|    | 3                  |                        |
|    | 4                  |                        |